

argues that each member could receive a statutory remedy of \$100, and, therefore, the amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million dollars. *Id.*

3. By letter dated August 10, 2016, Defendant Fit to be Tied, II, LLC, concurred and joined in Mr. Tractenberg's certification.

4. When the sufficiency of the jurisdictional allegations in a notice of removal is challenged, the parties must submit proofs for the court to decide, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether the jurisdictional requirements are satisfied. *Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens*, __U.S. __, 135 S. Ct. 547, 554 (2014).

5. Mr. Tractenberg's certification fails to meet this burden, for three reasons.

6. First, Mr. Tractenberg's certification fails to certify that the membership agreements to which it refers contain the same (or substantially similar) cancellation provisions as those in Plaintiff Marini Truglio's Membership Agreement.

7. Second, Mr. Tractenberg's certification fails to certify that the putative class contains in excess of 50,000 members. Importantly, the putative class is not defined merely as individuals who were members in a Planet Fitness club in New Jersey, but rather persons who enrolled in a health club membership agreement with Planet Fitness in New Jersey "at any time on or after the day six (6) years prior to the day on [which] the original Complaint was filed [on September 28, 2015]." Notice of Removal, Ex. A, B.

8. Third, Mr. Tractenberg's certification is based on hearsay evidence from an unidentified source in Planet Fitness. Instead, this certification should be made by a person with knowledge of the facts from Planet Fitness.

9. In other words, to satisfy their burden, Defendants must provide evidence, from a person with knowledge, that more than 50,000 individuals entered into the same (or similar)

Membership Agreements as the one at issue in this matter, during the time period running from September 28, 2009 to September, 28, 2015.

Accordingly, for good cause shown,

IT IS on this 11th day of August, 2016,

ORDERED that Defendants shall within seven (7) days of the date of this Order submit sufficient proof to support subject-matter jurisdiction in this case under the CAFA; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if sufficient proof is not produced, this case will be remanded to the Superior Court of New Jersey, Monmouth County, Law Division.

/s/ Freda L. Wolfson
The Honorable Freda L. Wolfson
United States District Judge